Reserve

Pos 1-25

App F IX 4

Previous References

1934-35
(Nil)

File No 3534

Serial Nos 38, 39, 49, 53, 80, 81, 82, 83

Letter References

Mil 21-37-8457-60

PRESERVED ~~PRESERVED~~

V. D. S.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos only

Part B for Feb. 1936

SUBJECT

Re. acquisition of Land in Jacksandra and Ranganathapura villages for Continuing Malleswaram Extension.

L 6018-21 dt 7/2/36
To the Nil Comr Bangalore City
The Dy C-Bangalore Dist
The Dir of I.R.
The Comptroller & Govt
Sanctions are accordingly
passed as noted in St 80.
Sd/- S Krishnaiyer, Secretary
WD 03607—GPB—22 500—2 8 35
that he cannot be permitted
Continue the Land in
his request can not be Complied
KB 17/2 6051 dt 8/2/36 To the
The Superintendent

[redacted] THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER [redacted]

[redacted] R 2934 [redacted] Dated the 1 March 1935

[redacted] tion and [redacted]

3900

F

SEC

From

K V Anantaraman [redacted] B A
by Commission
Bangalore District

Rd

2/3/35

Rajaseva akta
K. Ranga Rao [redacted] B A B L
Chief Secretary
the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

Subject —

I have the honour to state that the Bangalore City Municipal Council proposes to acquire [redacted] for Village Sites and to lay out Kamaran Thapur and the like contiguous thereto on the extreme Eastern Bangalore City

The cost of acquisition of the properties is estimated to be Rs 1,00,000/- over and above the statutory allowance admissible and the same is proposed to be met from the provision under Loan Schemes in the current year's Municipal budget

A copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council dated 18th & 23rd March regarding the proposed acquisition of the plan showing the extent of land proposed for acquisition and the draft preliminary notification in duplicate duly corrected are enclosed herewith

The rectifications may kindly be got published and two copies thereof sent to this office for issue of the public notification

[redacted] approval

[redacted] Please see Rules 5(1) & Contrary [redacted] the Deputy Commissioner but the proposed Notification [redacted] in the Bangalore Gazette

Chief Secy 5/3

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Issue Gazette Notification

Documents for Record

Rd

5/3 Issue Gazette Notification

5/3

3720

DEWAN'S MINUTE

FILE No 193

1) Unauthorised Construction of houses in Malleswaram, B'Gh, Jackmanon village behind the Temple.

Please ask DC. What action he has taken or proposes to take in the matter and why were houses allowed to be constructed at all.

19-2-35.

C Secy)

2) Draft submitted
20/2/35

3) Issue today
20/2

2) 1933

To The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt

No L 5741 dated 20-2-35

Enquires what action he has taken or proposes to take in the matter and why houses were allowed to be constructed at all

No L 5742 dated 20-2-35

Copy to the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city

Contd Construction on Jaetsandra Village

3/4 DV 375, F 3783

File No. of 193 [App F III—2

Serial No.

D o letter No C5 1919/34-35 dated 21-2-35 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District to the Private Secretary to the Dewan submitting a report on the matter —

4) The report now received is the same as sent on the 22nd instant — —

5) Submitted for information —

Rmr 25/2 — — — — — — 6) The further report of the Divl Engr called for —

Asst Engr 26/2

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 7 MAR 1935 No. 366

Subd for perusal

II Member) — Asst 5/3

7) Spl

8) Further report has not been received from the D C Blore Submitted with a draft

Asst 8/3/35

Gen B 6/3

9/7 Asst 9/7/35 9) As per accn shop being taken for the acquisition of the area in question the connected file may be perused

10) The file relating to a petn sent by Sri Krishnappa in the matter may also be perused

Asst 10/7

10/7 The Connected file is linked herewith

12) Atten has been invited to the linked file which deals with the matter No further action is necessary in this file which may be kept with the other

Asst 10/7 Asst 10/7/35

13) Other papers may be destroyed

Rmr 15/7

14) Asst 15/7

No E—C t Sh t

WD b 55— P — 6

[redacted] Rangamathapura Vill.
[redacted] Malleswaram Extension
#3900
L

al and Revenue
cretar at

M&F I N 35 f 1934

Appx F-III—2

S rl
N

3 The Compiler Mysore Gazette
To L 6146 dated 5-3-35
Intimates the acquisition
4 The Compiler Mysore Gazette
To L 6147 dated 5-3-35
Fds for publication the above notification
To L 6148 dated 5-3-35 Copy to D C Bangalore
To L 6149 dated 5-3-35 Copy to the M C Commr

15) Send for perusal

II Members) VTM 5/3

16) JPR
6/5
RLZ
7/3/35
ATM 7/3

Sur B
7/3

Petition dated 1-5-35 from Mr S Venkatappa
praying under preferring his objections against the
acquisition of his lands Sy Nos 1/1 and 4 of
Jaakasandra Village

17) A Report may be called for --
SPR
1/5

TYP ON
MAY 1935
& REV SECTT

76)
Draft O M calling for a report as submitted for approval
18) Issue
ATM
4/5

Noting Sht

3/5 W

Acquisition in Jakkasandra Village in
the Mallewaram Extension Bangalore city

ML File No 35 489 √3276 of 1934 | General and Revenue Secretariat

The Municipal Commr Bangalore city No L 7838 dated 14-5-35 1) Forwards the petition and calls for a report Submit with a reminder ———	53 Sus A 22/5
1) Issue 10/7 M/14/7 Issued L2444 dt 10/7/35	
Letter No L1 4840/34-35 dated 15-1-36 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city reporting on the petition of Mr S Krishnappa and requesting sanction to the acquisition of the lands in Jakkasandra Village. 1) The Municipal Commissioner states that for reasons given the objections of Mr S Krishnappa are untenable and requests sanction to the acquisition The Law Section may be consulted in regard to the objection contained in paras 3 & 6 of the petition Submitted for orders Room 21/1/36 (Chief Secy) Hm 23/1 Hm 24/1 (Law Secy) Hm 25/1	80

The points raised in paras 3 & 6 of Mr Krishnappa's petitions are no objections to the acquisitions proposed Every of Mr

Acquisition of properties for enlarging the Malleswaram Play ground

3270

4

Gen ral and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 193 | [App F III–2

Serial No

Krishnappa had given notice under Sec 107 of the City M[l] Regn and became entitled to make or lay out the Sites as proposed, there is no reason why Govt cannot under the L A Regn acquire the land for the municipality to control the formation of the extension proposed Again the objection raised in para 6 of the petition is only with respect to the Compensation payable and this is a matter which has to be hereafter decided by the L A officer or by the Civil Courts as the case maybe — — — —

24) The acquisition is certainly for a public purpose and the Compensation is to be paid out of M[l] funds there is therefore no legal impediment to further action being taken under the land acq[n] Regulation

Asst Secy (L & G Dept) with Comfts

JRM 27/1

25) Please put up the usual Draft Sanctioning the acquisition

JRM 29/1

68 Law RTR

JRM OFFICE OF THE COUNCIL JUN 15 NO 5724

21) 3 maps submitted for consideration

RRM 29/1/36 AM 29/1 Chf Secy) HRM 29/1

HRM

BRM 29/1 HRM 29/1

SECRETARY CHIEF JAN 1936

NOTE—Co to Sh t 2

27) Rec 31/1/36 BRM

Acquisition of certain lands in Gulbarga and in villages 392-76

File No of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

28) Mr S Krishnappa has presented a petition to the II Member on the subject of the Member has called for a note with reference Mr Krishnappa wants to be heard also

placed before Court

29) Orders are solicited of the drafts annexed in the file may issue or whether further orders on the note to be read may be awaited

CHIEF SECRETARY
-1 FEB 19..

Chiefsec) 31/1

30) Please see minute with the note called for by the 2nd Member

3-2-36

31) Send with a note (Tippaji)

Chiefsec) 4/2

4 FEB 1936

2nd Member)

4-2-36

4/2

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
5 FEB 1936
NO. 5598

32) There is no need to give a hearing to the party The drafts may issue An early copy may be given to the petn seeking his requests

NLL

6/2/36

6-2-36

33) Issue draft & return and draft sent

6/2

SECTION
6 FEB 1936
GENL
6-2

5

Petition of Mr S Krishnaiha

With reference to the preliminary notification published by Govt for acquiring the petitioners lands, he filed a petition to Govt on 1.5.1935 praying that the acquisition may not be sanctioned and that he may be permitted to complete the lay out of the extension subject to any undertaking that may be required of him in regard to the fulfilment of the sanitary requirements of the place the grounds urged by him were —

(1) that though the MCC have a definite policy regarding the layout of private extensions and sanctioned a number of such extensions they have declined permission in his case,

(2) that the MCC, in coming to the decision have proceeded to acquire the lands mentioned, have proceeded on the wrong note of the Municipal Engineer regarding the costly acquisition,

(3) that there was no need for the MCC acquiring the land & laying it out, as he was himself prepared to carry on the work under the directions of the MCC or entrust the work to them undertaking to defray the expenses thereof, and

(4) that the plan sent to Govt did not show the work done on the site and that the extent notified was wrong

The petition having been sent to the Municipal Council for a report, he has reported that the Municipal Council have been discouraging private extensions in view of their experience of such private lay outs, that the petitioner himself, who had been permitted to lay out some sites in South

has not complied with the terms laid down by the MLC in respect of roads, drains &c, and that in view of the importance of the locality the lay out of the sites in question cannot be entrusted to a private individual. The petition states that the whole council inspected the spot before resolving on the acquisition of the lands. As regards the expenses incurred by the petr, the Muni Engineer has stated that according to the Muni Engineers the amount spent by the petr does not exceed Rs 602, against Rs 10,000 mentioned in the petition and that he should not have spent even this amount without obtaining the permission of the MLC. Final declaration statements have been sent by the Muni Com for publication.

In the present petition the petitioner has repeated the same points as were urged in the previous petition. In regard to the Muni Com's report he refers to a number of cases in which the MLC are even now receiving deposits from private persons for forming sites on their lands and says that the Municipal Com's statement that the MLC are now following a different policy is not true. The petition states that in regard to the formation of sites in Seshadripuram, he has complied with all the terms agreed upon, and that the grant of permission in the present case makes such conditions on his carrying out everything required by the MLC in regard to Seshadripuram.

The petitioner's prayer is mentioned in the last para of the petn.

4/2

Serial No	
81	The Municipal Commr, Bangalore city " Deputy Commr, Bangalore " Dr of Land Records " Comptroller to govt No L 6018-21 dated 7-2-36 Sanctions the acquisition
82	The Comptr No L 6022 dt 7-2-36 Notifies the acquisition. 34) Draft endorsement to Mr S Krishnappa is submitted for approval Rejr 8/2 35) Issue today MR 8/2
83	Mr S Krishnappa No L 6050 dt 8-2-36 States that his request cannot be complied with No L 6051 dt 8-2-36 Copy to ML comm B city

B 11/2

WD 03594—GPB—30 000—13 7 35

File No. of 196

3783

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No 25741 *Dated Bangalore* 20th February *- 193* 5

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District, Bangalore.

Sir,

It has come to the notice of Government that houses have been constructed unauthorisedly in the Jackasandra Village, Malleswaram, Bangalore City behind the temple, I am to enquire what action you have taken or propose to take in the matter and why houses were allowed to be constructed at all.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd.R.Ranga Rao,
Chief Secretary to Government.

TSS.5

P.T.O.

File No

of 193

Memo No. L5742 8)20/2/85

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City through the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council for information.

By Order.

Subbarao

For Chief Secretary to Government.

TSS.

3783

BANGALORE

Cs-19[illegible]/34

K. P. [illegible]

DEWAN'S OFFICE
22 FEB 1935
No [illegible]

Dated 21st February 1935.

Dear Sir,

With reference to the Dewan's enquiry in regard to the unauthorised conversion of S.Nos 1 & 4 of Jaksandra village into non-agricultural purposes by Mr S. Krishnappa, Advocate, I write to state that I find from the records that the aforesaid Mr Krishnappa applied for permission to convert these lands into building purposes and that the permission was refused by my predecessor in view of the opinion expressed by the Municipality. As the party is now attempting to use the land for building purposes in defiance of the orders issued by this office, I am instructing the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore to take action under Section 64 of the Land Revenue Code and to evict the party from the land after observing the formalities prescribed by the Rules

Yours sincerely,

C.E Noronha, Esq , M A ,
Private Secretary to
the Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore

File No | of 193 | G

Appendix F G–1]

SUBJECT

9

Section

File No

Serial No

No L5741

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

Sir,

It has come to the notice of Govt that houses have been constructed unauthorisedly on the Jakkasandra Village Malleswaram (Bangalore) behind the temple I am to enquire what action you have taken or propose to take in the matter and why houses were allowed to be constructed at all

I have etc

for Chief Secy

Memo no L5742 dt 20/2/95 forwarded with copy to the Municipal Commr Bangalore for info

for Chief Secy

† Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 20/2/95

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

(2)



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated, Bangalore the 22nd February 1935



3759
I

From K V Anantaraman Esq B A ,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District



To Rajaseva akta
R Ranga Rao, Esq B A ,B L
Chief *Secretary*
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir

Subject —

With reference to your letter No L 5741 dated the 20th February 1935 a regarding the unauthorised construction of houses in the Jakkandra village, Malleswaram, Bangalore City behind the temple I have the honour to state that one Mr, S Krishnappa, Advocate, applied for the conversion of portion of S Nos 1-34 of the above mentioned village for building purposes and that my predecessor declined to grant him permission (vide copy of his order enclosed) As it was brought to my notice that Mr Krishnappa was attempting to divide the land into building sites and to permit the construction of houses on them, I have issued instructions to the Sub Division Officer to take action under Section 64 of the Land Revenue Code to evict the occupant from the Survey numbers and to resume the land in addition to levying the assessed fine under the Rule I shall communicate in due course the result of the action taken by the Sub Division Officer

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Submitted for perusal
RR
22/2/35

Please see
Dewan

Dewan

22-2-35

55/-

RR
23/2

Please put up
Secretary's DII for
orders thereon
23/2

Big M.A.P

L6146. MR 35-34

Under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act for Constructing Mallesvaram Extension

Municipal Under Section 5 A it is directed that any person interested in t

~~Deputy~~ Commissioner in writing after which no objections will be heard

A plan of the ~~property~~ properties will be available for inspection in the office of A

District	~~City~~ Taluk	~~Division~~ Hobli	Street Village	Name of khatedar or owner	Survey ~~Municipal~~ No.	Vacant site, house (tiled, terraced or mudroofed)	Total extent in sq yards or ~~Acres~~ Acres	E Length in ft	Breadth ~~in ft~~
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Bangalore	Yelahanka	Rachenahalli	Mr S Krishnappa	54	Vacant Land & trees thereon	5 acres and 15 guntas		
				Mr S. Krishnappa	1/1	Vacant Land	3 acres 15 guntas		
				Mr Anantha Subbiah	1/2 Remng portion of 2 — do — & 54 3 including Basavanapura		6 acres 12 guntas		
				Government	1	Hagarey Village	3.2 guntas		
				Mr S Krishnappa	Remng portion of 5 Mland 2 ~~5 Hagg~~ Ranganathapura village	Vacant Land & his trees thereon	2 acres 6 guntas		

To

The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, for publication in three successive issues of the Gazette

Centuks. signed
Land Acquisition Officer
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore

notified that the property/properties described hereunder 18/are required for a public purpose, ...ain 30 days after the issue of this notification prefer objections, if any, thereto, to the

1 City Municipal Council

...uired		Boundaries				Approximate value proposed of the property proposed to be acquired	Remarks
Ankanams	Runningft.	East	West	North	South		
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
...n 15 ...ton		Channel & Water works Land	S no 1 of Ranganathapura village	Channel & Water works Land	S no 1 of Jalahalli village		
...n 15 ...		S no 1, Sub no 2 of Jalahalli — Same'as	Ranganathapura village	S no 4 of Jalahalli	Basavanna Pond & village (Road)		Remarg Park & Sub no 1
...12 ...ton		Bathing ghat	S no 1 & 4 of Jalahalli Land	S no 4 of Jalahalli — Same	Road & Bathing ghat		
...2 Gunt...		Survey no 1 Sub no 2 & Jalahalli	Basavanna pond & Sub no 1 & 51 of Jalahalli	S no 1 & Sub no 1 of Jalahalli — Same	S 38 & Jalahalli village		
...acres ...Gunt...		Channel and S no 1 of Jalahalli village	Kaiyangatta Ranganathapura village & Makenahalli Extn	Channel & water works Land	Ranganathapura Limits.		

By order

Chief Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore

Municipal Council

ತಾರೀಖು [redacted] ನಂಬರ್ [redacted] Jul 35-34

1894ನೆಯ ವರ್ಷದ 4ನೆಯ ನಂಬರ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಸಿಷನ್ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್ನಿನ 4ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನನುಸರಿಸಿ ಇದರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧ 5 a ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಸದರಿ ಸ್ವತ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹಕ್ಕುಳ್ಳವರು ಈ ಇಸ್ತಿಹಾರ್ ನಾಮೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿದ 30 ದಿವಸಗಳೊಳಗಾ ಸದರಿ ಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ನಷ್ಟೆಯನ್ನು ಅಜಮಾಯಿಷಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ [redacted]

ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್	ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು	ಹೋಬಳಿ	ಗ್ರಾಮ	ಖಾತೇದಾರನ ಯಾ ಮಾಲೀಕನ ಹೆಸರು	ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್	ಖಾಲಿ ನಿವೇಶನ ಮನೆ (ಹಂಚಿನಮನೆ) ಗುಡಿಸಲು ಮನೆ	ಒಟ್ಟು ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ	ಈಗ ಸರ್ಕಾರ	
								ಅಡಿ ಉದ್ದ	ಅಡಿ ಅಗಲ
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
				ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಪ್ರಭಕರು	4	ಮಾಲಜಮು 5 ಎಕರೆ ಗು ಅಕರ್ಷ 15 ಮಲೆ ಕುವಮು ಕರ್ಷು			
				ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು	1/1	ಮಾಲಜಮು 3 ಎಕರೆ 2 ಮಲೆ			4
				ಅಕ್ಕಂಕನಮ್ಮ ಚೌಡಯ್ಯ ಬಿನ್ ಚೌಡಪ್ಪಂಡಿಕೆ	1/2 ಎಸ್ಪಪ್ಪನವರು ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಸರ್ವೆ 38	— ಮು —	6 ಎಕರೆ 12 ಮಲೆ		6
				ಸುಬ್ಬಾರ್	1	ಮಲೆಕಾಸ್ತು 3.2 ಮಲೆ			0
				ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪಪ್ರಭಕರು	[redacted]	ಮಾಲಜಮು 2 ಎಕರೆ 6 ಮಲೆ ಸು.			2

[redacted]

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೆಜೆಟ್ಟನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಗೆಜೆಟ್ಟಿನ ಕರಂಪೈಲರಿಗೆ

[redacted]

Dr Deputy Commrs.
2&1/2 Rue Bangalore

(14)

ಇರುವ ಸ್ವತ್ತು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ, ಎಂದರೆ ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಮೀಷನರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ವಾಯಿದೆ ಕಳೆದ ನಂತರ ಯಾವ ತಕರಾರುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ

ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ		ಚತುರ್ಗಡಿ				ಅಳತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಗತ್ಯವಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದಾಜು ಬೆಲೆ	ಷರಾ
ದಿನಾಂಕ	ನಂ.	ಪೂರ್ವ	ಪಶ್ಚಿಮ	ಉತ್ತರ	ದಕ್ಷಿಣ		
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
		ಚಾನಲ್ಲುಗಾಲ್ವೆ ಕೀಲಿಗಳು ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆ	ರಂಗನಾಥಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿ	ಚಾನಲ್ಲು ಗಾಲ್ವೆ ಕೀಲಿಗಳು ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆ	ಪಡಪಂಕ್ತಿ ಪತ್ತೆಯಾಗಿದೆ		
		ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದ ಪಡಿ ಪಲ್ಲಿಗಳು ೨	ರಂಗನಾಥ ಪ್ರಕಾರ	ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದ ಪಲ್ಲಿಗಳು ೪	ಬಸವಪ್ಪನ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯ ಸುಜದ		ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಸಹಿ
		ಕೀಲಿಂಗ್ ತ್ರಾಟ್ಸ್	ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದ ಪಡಪಂ ೧೪೬ ಸುಣ್ಣಕಲ್ಲುಗಳು	ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದ ಪಲ್ಲಿಗಳು ೪	ಕೀಲಿಂಗ್ ಕೋಲು		
		ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದ ಪಲ್ಲಿಗಳು ೨ ಸುಣ್ಣ ೨	ಬಸವಪ್ಪನ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದ ಪಲ್ಲಿಗಳು ೨ ಸುಣ್ಣ ೧	ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದ ಪಲ್ಲಿಗಳು ೧ ಸುಣ್ಣ ೧	ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದ ಪಲ್ಲಿಗಳು ೩೬		
		ಚಾನಲ್ಲು ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ೪	ರಂಗನಾಥ ಕ್ಷೌರಿಕನ ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದ ಪಲ್ಲಿಗಳು ೨	ಚಾನಲ್ಲು ಗಾಲ್ವೆ ಕೀಲಿಗಳು ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆ	ರಂಗನಾಥ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತುಳಸಿ		

ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯ ಮೇರಗೆ,

Municipal Commissioner
Bangalore City Corporation

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

15

No L 6147 Laes 2
Rev 35-34-40

Dated, Bangalore, - 5th March - 193 - 5

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Compiler,
Mysore Gazette,
BANGALORE.

Sir

I am directed to forward herewith, for publication in part III of the Gazette, preliminary notification in English and Kannada relating to the acquisition of properties required for continuing the extension of Lalleswaram, Bangalore City, and to request you to be so good as to send 50 spare copies each of the Notification in English and Kannada to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District. The notification may kindly be published in this week's gazette

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) R.Ranga Rao,

Chief Secretary to Government.

M E M O No.

Dated, Bangalore, 5th March 1935

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to

6148 5-3-35 the (1) Deputy Commr, Bangalore Dt
6149 5-3-35 (2) Municipal Commr Bangalore city

By order,

Asubba Rao

for Chief Secretary to Government

Rao

£6147 [redacted]-35

Ml 35-34-40

& Gazette

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith, for publication in part VIII of the Gazette, preliminary notification in English and Kannada relating to the acquisition of properties required for Continuing the extension of Malleswaram, ... — Bangalore City

and to request you to be so good as to send 50 spare copies each of the Notification in English and Kannada to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District The notification may kindly be published in this week's issue. I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R2 5/3/35

5/3 for ~~General~~ Secretary to Government

Chief

Asst

L 6148 dt 5-9-35

Copy to the D C Bangalore Dt with reference to his letter No L A-R 29/34-35 dated 1-3-35

By order,

for chief Secy

L 6149 dt 5-9-35

Copy to the Municipal Commr, Bangalore city (through the President) for information

By order

for chief Secy



ML 35-34-4 C

17
487/L.

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
1 MAY 1935
No. 6562-21

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE, BANGALORE

Petitioner - S Krishnappa, Inamdar and advocate
Seshadripuram, Bangalore City

Presented by
Mr M
S Krishnappa

Referring to Govt Notification No L 6146/ML 35-34-39 dated 5-3-1935 published in the Gazette proposing to acquire among other properties, his Inams S Nos 1/1 and 4 of Jakkasandra, Kasaba Hobli, Bangalore Taluk within the City Municipal limits, the petitioner begs to state as follows -

1 As directed in the notification he has filed his objections to the Municipal Commissioner on 3-4-35

2 The Bangalore City Municipality has a definite policy in encouraging private extensions and has consistently allowed more than 20 such extensions (a detailed list of which will be submitted) the last being the one formed by Mr Narasimhamurti in Chamarajapet ard approved by the Municipal Council only a couple of months ago. Further in January last the Municipality intimated that they would accord sanction to the layout of Mr Lakshminarasu for his agricultural land at Chamarajapet Guttahalli on his depositing the cost of the formation of roads and drains

A report may be called for

SM
1/5/35

RSR
4/5/35

CHIEF SECRETARY
2 MAY 1935.

3 The petitioner being desirous of converting his said Inams into house sites, submitted to the Municipality on 8-8-1934 his application for layout with plans furnishing particulars as required by S 107 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation of 1933 As the Municipal Council passed

passed no orders either fixing or disapproving his proposals within one month as laid in that section, he became entitled to the layout which fact he intimated to the Municipality in his letter dated 10-9-1934

4. Thereafter he commenced the layout, formed roads and conservency lanes, constructed stone drains at a cost of more than Rs 10000-0-0 He also sold several unimportant sites to other persons as per details given in his objection statement filed before the Commissioner In fact the president and the Municipal Councillors visited the spot on 14-2-35 and expressed great satisfaction at the work of the layout done so far

5 The Municipal Council are not consistent in their policy in resolving to acquire the petitioner's already formed sites at their special General Meeting held for the purpose on 18-2-35, especially as the object of the proposed - - acquisition is the same as that of the petitioner viz , to form sites and sell them. Even at the said meeting of 18-2-35 the Municipal Councillors held opposite views 15 voting for and 11 against The speaches of the Councillors in the said meeting are herewith submitted as translated from the 'Prajabandhu' dated 19th and 20th February 1935 These clearly show that the resolution was manouevred by a particular party with ulterior motives and this becomes apparent from the discussions which took place in the meeting of the Municipal Council held on 15-4-1935, wherein a resolution was moved by Councillor Mr B Kempanna to the effect that no private extensions should be allowed There were heated discussions on the subject and amendments were thrown out

When

(8)

When the President intimated the Council that he would put to vote the original proposition, the Councillors threatened a walk out and the President avoided the same by abruptly adjourning the meeting as reported in t h e annexed Tainadu of 16-4-1935 The proposition had t o be discussed on the adjourned meeting held on 26-4-1935 but the President intimated at the commencement of the meeting that the mover had withdrawn it

6 The Municipal Council have proceeded on the Engineer's wrong note that the Petitioner's Inams already formed into sites can be acquired as agriculteral lands at Rs 1000-0-0 per acre and that the scheme would be self-supporting This is due to the ignorance of the Revenue law that for the conversion of petitioner's Inams granted for personal benefit no permission of the Revenue authorities is needed under Sections 138 and 238 of the Land Revenue Code The proposed estimate of the - - acquisition is likewise wrong and the cost works out at more than Rs 5000-0-0 per acre or Rs 45000-0-0 for the entire property basing the valuation on the rates actually realised from the sale of sites by the petitioner and others in the neighbourhood and also the demand for the remaining sites that are more conveniently situated

7 There is no need for the proposed acquisition as he has been all along urging before the Municipal Council that he is prepared to carry on the work of the layout under their directions or entrust the same to them undertaking to defray the expenses thereof In fact he had sent a cheque for Rs 1000-0-0 to the President on 6-?-1935 as earnest money for his due performance of all the works of the layout He begs leave to make it clear that what

He

he wants is to retain only the proprietory rights over the sites and not in any way to interfere with sanitary - - arrangements

8 In the plan sent to Government by the Municipality, the roads and conservancy lanes formed and the drains constructed by the petitioner at such heavy cost ~~of about~~ have not been shown - nor is the house built at a cost of about of Rs 4000-0-0 on sites Nos 41-42 and 43 of his layout plan shown The extent notified for the acquisition is also wrong as pointed by him in his objection statement of 3-4-1935

9 In these circumstances, the acquisition of his sites at this juncture will serve no public purpose, but will only deprive him of the chances of realising the proceeds from the sale of his sites This will be tantamount on the part of the Municipality to an invation upon the rights of private citizenship

Wherefore he humbly prays that the Government of His Highness the Maharaja, be graciously pleased to withhold their sanction to the proposed acquisition and direct the Municipality to permit the petitioner to complete his layout taking from him such undertaking as they may deed fit in the circumstances for fulfilling sanitary requirements

Bangalore,)
1st May 1935)

Sd/- Shivappa.

Petitioner

The speeches delivered at the meeting of the Bangalore City Municipal Council held on 18-2-1935, translated from the "Prajabandhu" dated 19-2-35 and 20-2-1935

Subject Proposal to acquire S Nos 1 and 4 of Jakkasandra belonging to Mr S Krishnappa

1 Mr B Kempanna

The owner had applied on 8-8-1934 for permission to his layout of sites Why was no reply sent within the time prescribed in S 107

2 Mr R Venkataswami

We have given permission to Messrs B Nagappa and Kempaiya to their lay outs According to this precident, it is but fair that Mr S Krishnappa should be accorded permission It is not right to acquire his lands. He has invested considerable money for the construction of roads and drains

3 Mr S V Srinivasasetti

At the last meeting we gave permission to Sri Gopi Bai for her lay out in Chamarajapet The City improvement committee has not dealt with Mr Krishnappa's case fairly It is not right on the part of the elected members to propose the acquisition of sites laid out by private people at heavy cost and this discrimination between persons is not just, we must follow a definite policy and not swayed by personalities When we have no meney to complete the acquisitions already on hand, there is no meaning in con-

contemplating further acquisition.

4 Mr n Srinivasamurti

The Municipal layouts and sale of sites in open auction have been making it not possible for people to purchase sites We should encourage private people to layout sites at theirown cost We inspected the sites laid out at heavy cost by Mr S Krishnappa The Municipality will do well to follow his example The Municipality is not paying adequate attention to the proper drainages and sanitation of the City We have given permission to 19 private layouts Near Sivananda Theatre also such permission has been given The Municipal Engineer has estimated at Rs 35000-0-0 the cost of acquisition of Mr S Krishnappa's sites We have no funds for this purpose and the compensation for these sites will come up to Rs 50000-0-0

5 Mr D Venkatramanappa

Why should we not encourage private lay-outs It is incumbent on elected members to encourage such lay outs

6 Mr Razvi

We should not fill our coffers by acquiring ryots lands' here private individuals are capable of bearing the cost, they should be allowed to form sites

7 President

Are these Sarcar lands and has Mr Krishnappa obtained sanction

Mr.S.Srinivasamurti

These are Inams and not Sarcar lands

Mr.S.Seetharamaiya

This proposition is not fair or proper It is not known how much money will be required for this

Sd/ Krishnappa
Petitioner
1-5-35

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮ್ಯುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿ ಮೀಟಿಂಗು

ಕೆಲವು ''ಸೈಡ್‌ಲೈಟು'' ಗಳು

(ನಮ್ಮ ಬೇಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ಜೂನಿಯರ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟರಿನಿಂದ)

ನಾನು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿ ಮೀಟಿಂಗಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದ ಮೇಲಾಯಿತು ಏನೋ ತಪ್ಪು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ಕ್ಯೋಸ್ಕರ ನಮ್ಮ ಎಡಿಟರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರು ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷ ''ಸಸ್ಪೆಂಡ್'' ಮಾಡಿಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು ನಿನ್ನೆ ದಿವಸ ಆ ಅವಧಿಯು ಮುಗಿಯಿತು ''ಮ್ಯುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡು ತಲೆಹಂಟೇ ಬರೀಬೇಡ. ಈಗ ಬಂದಿರೋ ''City Father'' ಗಳೆಲ್ಲ ಹೊಸಬರು (ಅಂದರೆ ''ನಗರ ಪಿತೃಗಳು'' ಅಂತ ಅರ್ಥ) ಜೋಕೆ' ಅಂದರು ಈ ''warning'' ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋದೆ ಬಿಸಿಲು ಬಹಳ ಜೋರಾಗಿತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರೀತಿ ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರೊಬ್ಬರು ಮ್ಯುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಆಫೀಸಿನವರಿಗೂ ಮೋಟಾರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋದರು ಅವರು ''ಸಿಟಿ ಫಾದರ್'' ಅಲ್ಲ ನಾನು ಸಿಟಿ ಫಾದರುಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ನನಗೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ''ಇಂಡಿವಿಜ್ಯುಯಾಲಿಟಿ'' ಇದೆ ಅನ್ನಿ

o o o

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮ್ಯುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಹೊಸ ಅಸಾಮಿಗಳೆ. ಹಳೇ ಹುಲಿಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ, ಕೆಂಪಣ್ಣ ವೆಂಕಟರಂಗಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಹನುಮಂತಪ್ಪ ತಿವಾರಿ, ಸೋಮಶೇಖರರವ, ನರಸಿಂಗರಾವ್ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಇದಾರೆ ಹೊ[illegible]ಕಟಸಾಮಿ, ಹಯವ[illegible], ಮೇಕ್ರಿ, ಅಬ್ದು ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಬಂದಿದಾ[illegible]ಲ್ಲ ಯಾರನ್ನೂ [illegible]ಳುವ ಸ್ವಭಾವ ನ[illegible]

[illegible] ತಮಾಷೆಗಳು ನ[illegible] ಗುರುಡಾಚಾರ್ಯ [illegible]ತ್ತೆ'' ಅಂದರು [illegible]ದರು 'ಬಹ' [illegible]ಯತ್ತು ಅಂದರೆ [illegible] ಯಂತೆ ಶಹ [illegible]

[illegible] ಮಾಪೆಯಾಗಿ [illegible] ಸಭೆ ಅ [illegible] land" ಗೆ [illegible] ನವರು [illegible] ಅಂದಾಗ [illegible] ಕಣು [illegible] 'Fools' [illegible] ನಾಹೆಬರಿಗೆ [illegible] ಕೋಪ [illegible] ಸಹಾ

ರಾಜಧಾನಿಯ ಪತ್ರ

ಭಾವಚಿತ್ರ ಬಹುಮಾನ

[ತಾಯಿನಾಡು ಬಾತ್ಮೀದಾರರಿಂದ]

ಮೈಸೂರು ೨೧-೪

ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಬಣ್ಣದ ಭಾವಚಿತ್ರವೊಂದನ್ನು ಮೈಸೂರು ಬಾರ್ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ಬಹುಮಾನವಾಗಿ ದಯಪಾಲಿಸಿರುವರೆಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಸಂಘದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನಾವರಣ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು

ಸಂಗೀತ

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿಡಾರಂ ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರ ಶ್ರೀ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನ ಸೀತಾರಾಮ ಮಂದಿರದಲ್ಲಿ ನೆನ್ನೆ ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ತಿರುಗೋಕರಣಂ ಮಿಸ್ ಕನಕಾಂಬುಜಂರವರ ಸುಶ್ರಾವ್ಯವಾದ ಹಾಡುಗಾರಿಕೆಯೂ, ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ ಗುರುರಾಜಪ್ಪನವರ ಪಿಟೀಲು ವಾದನವೂ ಶ್ರೀ ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಯ್ಯನವರ ಮೃದಂಗವಾದನವೂ ನಡೆಯಿತು ನೂರಾರು ಜನರು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು

ನಾಡಿದ್ದು ಬುಧವಾರ (೨೭-೪-೩೫) ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ಶ್ರೀ ಶಂಬಂಗುಡಿ ಶೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯರ್ರವರ ಹಾಡಗಾರಿಕೆಯೂ, ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಂಭಕೋಣಂ ಜಯರಾಮಯ್ಯರ್ರವರ ಪಿಟೀಲು ವಾದನವೂ, ಶ್ರೀ ಪುದುಕೋಟೆ ಸ್ವಾಮಿನಾಥಪಿಳ್ಳೆಯವರ ಮೃದಂಗವಾದನವೂ ಶ್ರೀ ಪುದುಕೋಟೆ ದಕ್ಷಿಣಾಮೂರ್ತಿಯವರ ಕಂಜರಿ ವಾದನವೂ ನಡೆಯುವುದು

ರಾಮಮಂದಿರ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂತೆಪೇಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕುಂಚಿಟಿ

ಸ್ಪೈಸಾ ಒಪ್ಪಂದದ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು

ಯೂರೋಪಿನ ಶಾಂತಿಯ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ

ಸ್ಪೈಸಾ ೨೧-೪

ಈ ದಿನ ಮುಕ್ತಾಯವಾದ ಬ್ರಿಟ್, ಫ್ರಾನ್ಸ್ ಮತ್ತು ಇಟಲಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳ ಸಮ್ಮೇಳನದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರಗಳಿಗೂ ಒಂದು ರೀತಿಯ ಒಪ್ಪಂದವಾಗಿದೆ ಅವರ ಒಪ್ಪಂದದ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇವೆ.

ಜರ್ಮನಿಯು ಪುನ ಶಸ್ತ್ರ ಸಿದ್ಧತೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಲೀಗ್ ಆಫ್ ನೇಷನ್ಸಿಗೆ ಫ್ರಾನ್ಸು ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ನೀತಿಯನ್ನೇ ಈ ಸಮ್ಮೇಳನವು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಒಪ್ಪಿದೆ.

ಎರಡನೆಯದಾಗಿ ಪೂರ್ವ ಯೂರೋಪಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶಾಂತಿ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಗಾಗಿ ಒಪ್ಪಂದದ ಮಾತುಕತೆಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಬೇಕು ಮೂರನೆಯದಾಗಿ ಆಸ್ಟ್ರಿಯಾ ದೇಶದ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಪಾಲನೆಗಾಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಬೇಕು

ಪಶ್ಚಿಮ ಯೂರೋಪಿನ ವಿಮಾನಗಳ ಒಪ್ಪಂದದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ಮಾತುಕತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಂದರಿಸಬೇಕು

ಶಸ್ತ್ರ ಸನ್ಯಾಸದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಎಲ್ಲ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರಗಳಿಗೂ ಒಪ್ಪಂದವಾಗಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಆಶಯದಿಂದ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ್ಗೆ ಜರ್ಮನಿಯು ಪುನ ಶಸ್ತ್ರ ಸಿದ್ಧತೆಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಾ, ಒತ್ತಾಯದ ಮೇಲೆ [illegible] ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಏರ್ಪಾಟನ್ನೂ ನಡೆಸಿ[illegible] ಪಡು

34-53

⊛ to be kindly returned with the reply

OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

No L 7838 Encls

The accompanying ~~Petition~~ Copy of Resolution Registered No L 4809 dated 2-5-35 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Mr S Krishnappa Inamdar and Advocate, Seshadripuram, Bangalore city, protesting against the acquisition of his lands in S Nos 1/1 and 4 of Jaekasandra Village, by the Bangalore city Municipality

is forwarded, together with its enclosures to the Municipal Commr, Bangalore city through the President for an early report

By Order,

for Secretary

BANGALORE,
14-5-1935.

[P T O

WD 2369 -GPB-3,000—14 6 27

ಅಮೀದಾರ್ .. .. ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.. ಹೋಬಳಿ

.. ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.. ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಡ್ ಹುಳುಂ

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ

ಮೊಕ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ರವರ ಖಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary

me 35-34-80

No L1-4840/34-35

City Municipal Office,

Bangalore, dated 15th Jany 1936

From

M SESHADRI, ESQ, M A,
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

17 JAN 1936

. V Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

1) With reference to your official memorandum No. 7838 of the 14th May 1935 forwarding for report the petition of Mr S Krishnappa, protesting against the acquisition of his survey lands in Jakkasandra village I have the honor to state as follows:-

2) Mr Krishnappa states that the Bangalore City Municipality has been following a definite policy in encouraging private extensions in Chamarajapet and other localities In fact the Municipality have been as far as possible ~~discovering~~ discouraging such extensions in view of their experience of such private layouts Mr Krishnappa himself was permitted a few years ago to layout some sites in Seshadripurm In spite of repeated requests to improve the extension he has not done so He has not complied with the terms of the Municipality in respect of forming roads, drains &c He further says that he applied for permission to convert the survey lands in question into building sites and as he did not receive any reply within one month he commenced the layout and spent on it more than Rs 10,000. The Municipal Council did not approve of his proposals I have inspected the spot with the Municipal Engineer. The Municipal Engineer has reported that the cost of the work does not exceed Rs 602/- He should not have gone even so far without obtaining permission from

the Municipal Council.

3) Some private extensions have been permitted in some localities on different conditions and circumstances. The land proposed to be laid out into sites by Mr Krishnappa is an uneven ground with hollows hereand there It has to be ~~marked~~ levelled at a heavy cost It is adjacent to Kadu Mallesvara temple and very near to Basavanna temple. These temples attract a large number of devotees In the neighbourhood there is a beautiful pond maintained by the Municipality for purposes of swimming and bathing It is meant for the public use

4) A layout at such an important locality cannot be allowed to be entrusted to a private individual who ~~who~~ will naturally be interested only in making money for himself He cannot be expected to satisfy the requirements of the locality and look to the convenience of the purchasers of sites from him. The Municipal Council considered all these aspects of the matter The whole Council inspected the spot and after a protracted discussion decided upon acquiring the land in question.

5) In these circumstances, I am of opinion that the lands in question may be acquired and laid out into sites by the Municipality

6) In respect of these lands preliminary notification has already been published (Vide Govt Notification No 6147 of 5th March 1935) I am sending herewith the final declaration statements for favour of publication The cost of acquisition will be met out of Municipal Funds.

7) I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the acquisition The petition of Mr. Krishnappa is returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Devadas
Municipal Commissioner.

ss

Proceedings of a Special General Meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Monday the 11th February 1935 at 4-30 P.M. in the Council Chamber of the City Municipal Office, Bangalore.

Present.

Rao Bahddur B. K. Garudachar, Esq.,
Honorary President.

Councillors :-

1. Mr. A. Venkatesamy,
2. " M.N. Hanumanthappa,
3. " B. Kempanna,
4. " G. V. Srenivasa Setty,
5. " K.T.Krishnyam Iyengar,
6. " Ramlal Thiwari,
7. " K.C.Kamakshiah Setty,
8. " V. B. L. Narayan,
9. " D. Venkataramanappa,
10. " M. Muniswamappa,
11. " H. Srenivasa Murthy,
12. " C. N. Narasinga Rao,
13. " D. Gopalakrishna Rao,
14. " C. Hayavadana Rao,
15. Mr. C M.Garudachar,
16. " M.P. Somasekhra Rao
17. " C. Sitharamiah,
18. " S.K.Venkataranga Iyengar
19. " T.S.Raghavachar,
20. " M. Chinnaswamy,
21. " P. Subbarama Chetty,
22. " O.S.Nasrulla Sheriff,
23. " S.N.H.Hazvi,
24. " Azizuddin Beharí,
25. " S. Yellappa.

Absent.

1. Mr. B. S Channabrappa Setty,
2. " Y. H. Venkataramanappa,
3. Mr. R. Abdur Rahmon,
4. " J. R. Isaac.

— *** —

Subject No 2.

Opinion of the City Improvement Committee meeting dated 1st December 1934 that Survey Nos. 1 & 4, Jnanendra villare, belonging to Mr. G. Krishnappa, be acquired and sites be formed and sold by the Council.

Mr. M. Chinnaswamy proposed that the recommendation of the City Improvement Committee be approved.

Mr. T.S Raghavachar seconded it.

Mr. C.N.Narasinga Rao pointed out that Section 121 was more applicable in this case than Sections 107 and 122.

Mr. M.P. Somasekhara Rao said that even assuming that Section 107 were to be made applicable in this case, it would not stand in the way of the Council acquiring the land and laying out as they deem fit. But there may be some trouble about the payment of the compensation as a result of acquisition. He therefore suggested that the question may be disposed of on its merits.

The Municipal Commissioner said that he was of opinion that Section 107 was not applicable to this case.

Mr. C.N. Narasinga Rao further pointed out that he had viewed the case from all its aspects. It was better to acquire the land as the Municipality would be in a better position to layout the land into building sites and to provide sanitary facilities.

Mr. B.V. Srenivasa Setty opposed the views of Mr. C.N. Narasing Rao and said that permission may be granted to Mr. S.Krishnappa for laying out the plot into building sites.

Mr. M.H. Hanumanthappa proposed an amendment that Survey Nos. 1 & 4 Jakkasandra village and other surrounding agricultural lands between Halleswaram and Bellary, Sankey Roads be acquired.

The amendment was accepted by the mover of the proposition

Mr. P. Srenivasa Murthy opposing the acquisition of the lands in question said that if lands are acquired and laid out into house sites and sold in public auction there will be no chance for the poor to buy the sites and build houses. The owners of lands should be permitted to lay out extensions. Further, the Municipality was not in a position to acquire this big plot of land owing to financial stringency.

Mr. Kamal Thiwari was not for acquiring the lands.

Mr. M.P. Somasekhra Rao said that it was not right to allow private persons to lay out extensions, as the question of laying out according to sanitary conditions, the drainage etc., will have to be carefully looked into. It would be in the interest of the ratepayers that the Municipality should acquire the lands and lay them out into extensions, even by borrowing amounts, if necessary.

Mr. D. Venkataramanappa was in favour of granting permission

to Mr. S.Krishnappa for laying out the extension.

Mr. S.N.H.Razvi said that if the owners of lands are capable of carrying out instructions of the Municipality, permission may be granted for the layout. Otherwise, the Municipality may acquire the lands and lay it out themselves.

Dr. D.Gopalakrishna Rao said that if private persons were allowed to lay out the plots into sites, they would aim at making profits rather than creating facilities for the purchasers of the sites He was not in favour of the grant of permission.

Mr. S.Sitharamiah said that the question of acquisition of properties from Malleswaram to Bellary Road was too big a one and that it was not right to move this proposition to shut off Mr. S.Krishnappa from getting permission for the layout.

Mr. O.S.Nasarulla Sheriff said it was the primary duty of the Municipality to lay out extensions consistent with sanitary principles and that a corporate body was in a better position to undertake this work than a private individual. The Municipality should acquire the land themselves or else considerable trouble would arise if such permission was granted to a private agency in the matter of demarcation etc.,

As the question was discussed for over one and half hours, the President proposed under Rule 29 A of the Rules for the Conduct of Business and Proceedings at the Meetings, that further discussion be closed and the subject was put to vote and carried 15 voting "For" and 11 "Against".

Messrs. H.Srenivasa Murthy and D. Gopalakrishna Rao demanded poll and it was granted.

The following voted "For" :-

1. Mr. M.I.Gopasekhara Rao, 2. Mr. B. Kempanna, 3. Mr. V.H.Hanumanthappa 4. Mr. B.Yellappa, 5. Mr. S.K.Venkatarangaa Iyengar, 6. Mr. C.N.Narasinga Rao, 7. Mr. C.L.Garudachar, 8. Mr. T.S.Raghavachar, 9. Mr. M. Chinnaswamy 10. Mr. V.B.L.Narayan, 11. Mr. K.T.Bhashyam Iyengar, 12. Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, 13. Mr. D. Gopalakrishna Rao, 14. Mr. O.S.Nasarulla Sheriff, 15. Mr. B. K. Garudachar.

The following voted "Against" :-

1. Mr. Azizuddin Mehkri, 2. Mr. H.Srenivasa Murthy, 3. Mr. S.N.M.Razvi 4. Mr. P.Subbarama Chetty, 5. Mr. E.Venkataswamy, 6. Mr. M. Muniswappa

7. Mr. C.V.Srenivasa Setty, 8. Mr. K.S.Kamakshiah Setty, 9. Mr. Pamlal Tiwari, 10. Mr. L.Venkataramanappa, 11. Mr. S.Sitharamiah.

The result of polling was 15 "For" and 11 "Against".

Sd. P. K. Garudachar.
Honorary President.

" C o p y. "

SB

For Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City Municipality





BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE

No of 34 - 35

Petitioner -- S Krishnappa, Inamdar and advocate, Seshadripuram, Bangalore City

Referring to Government notification No L 6146/M L 35-34-39 dated 5-3-1935, proposing to acquire among other properties the petitioner's Inam lands S Nos 1/1 and 4 of Jakkasandra on the initiative of the Bangalore City Municipality and in continuation of his petition dated 1-5-35 praying that for the reasons therein stated, the sanction to the proposed acquisition be withheld and that the Municipality be directed to accord permission to the petitioner's alignment, he begs to submit as follows -

1 He learns that the learned commissioner of the Bangalore City Municipality to whom his above petition of 1-5-35 had been sent has now submitted his report

2 In addition to 20 extensions allowed by the Municipality as stated in para 2 of his - petition of 1-5-1935, the present learned - - Commissioner has since taken deposits from the following 6 persons for according sanction to their layouts of sites which are all contiguous forming

forming more than 400 sites in Sriramapuram Extension

(a) (1) Deposit of Rs 500-0-0 has been taken from B Yerrappa for forming about 40 sites out of S No 48, (2) Deposit of Rs 500-0-0 from A Chowdappa for forming about 40 sites out of the same survey number - - (3) Deposit of Rs 500-0-0 from Bommaiya for forming about 60 sites out of S No 52, (4) Rs 500-0-0 from Munivenkatappa for forming about 60 sites out of the same number, (5) Deposit of Rs 300-0-0 from Byrappa for forming 50 sites out of S No 51, (6) Deposit of Rs 200-0-0 from Buddappa for forming about 40 sites out of S No 49 Several houses have also been built on

(b) Mr Ramakrishna Iyengar of Seshadripuram has been now accorded sanction to form about 50 sites out of his survey number in Seshadripuram Extension

(c) There are many other cases the details of which will be submitted

(d) The petitioner likewise sent a cheque for Rs 1000-0-0 to the Municipality ~~for~~ as earnest money for due performance of all the works of the layout, offering to deposit such further amount as may be required, but unfortunately his proposals were not favourably considered

(e) The learned Commissioner's remark that the - - Municipality have been following a different policy is not true

3 Regarding the drawbacks in the formation of roads and drains about 7 years ago, near Seshadripuram,

the

the petitioner begs to submit that in the years 1929 and 1930 several private layouts were accorded sanction by the Municipality, (a) Some of them are (1) Mr N V Narasimha Iyengar, B A , B L , advocate for 50 sites known as 'Ramakrsihnapuram' opposite to Sivananda Theatre, (2) Mr B Nagappa, Retired Legal Secretary to Government, for 150 sites known as Nagapuram, (3) Mr T Govinda Rao, retired - Municipal Commissioner in Seshadripuram, a n d (4) The petitioner for about 20 sites near Seshadri-puram

(b) The petitioner has complied with all the terms agreed upon, while others have done nothing Further it was under totally different circumstances and the petitioner followed the three others

(c) Even in this connection the petitioner - represented to the present Commissioner that he would do any more work that may be suggested and that the grant of permission to the present layout may be made conditional to his carrying out every thing required

4 The learned Municipal Commissioner has not sent to Government the entire records connected with the case which show that the petitioner sub-mitted his application for layout with plans - furnishing all the materials as required under - S 107 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation and that as no orders were passed within one month either fixing or disapproving his proposals, he became entitled to the layout as laid down in that - sanction, which fact he intimated to the Munici-pality in his letter of 10/11-9-1935 and then

commenced

commenced the work of his layout It is significant that the Municipality by not taking any objection have encouraged the petitioner to invest very heavy amounts for forming roads, conservancy lanes and constructing " V " shaped drains

5 The learned Commissioner has grossly - undervalued the petitioner's investments and his report is silent about the construction of the house at a cost of about Rs 4000-0-0, in December 1934 on sites Nos 41 - 42 - and 43 as marked in the plan submitted by him with his application of 8-8-1934 to the Municipality The learned - Commissioner's report is also silent on the following point urged in para 4 of his petition of - - 5-1-1935 though the details had been given in the objection statement He has sold sites now proposed to be acquired under duly registered sale deeds to (a) Mr H C Suryanarayana Rao, five sites Nos 95 to 99 together measuring 972 yards for Rs. 1500-0-0, (b) Doctor Venkatesa Kesava Nalige - five sites Nos 91 to 94 and 51 measuring 972 Sq yards for Rs 1475-0-0, (c) Mr Siddoji Rao, - retired post master, two sites 107 and 108 - measuring 389 Sq yards for Rs 600-0-0, (d) Subba Raju, sites Nos 53 and 54 measuring 389 Sq yards for Rs 550-0-0 All these sales were long prior to the notification of 5-3-1935 and the petitioner has retained more valuable and more convenient sites for his use Thus the cost of the - - acquisition works out more than Rs 5000-0-0 per acre or more than Rs ~~45000-0~~ 45000-00 for the entire - property basing the valuation on the rates actually realised from the sale of sites by t h e petitioner and others in the neighbourhood while the

the demand for the remaining sites which are more conveniently situated is still greater T h e petitioner has filled up a depression of about 1 acre to the height of 3 to 4 feet which alone has cost more than Rs 1000-0-0

6 It is this grossly undervalued estimate that was brought to the consideration of the Municipal Council in their special meeting held on 18-2-1935 for resolving to acquire the petitioner's already formed sites Even in this meeting the Councellors held opposite views 15 - voting for and 11 against He humbly begs to submit in this connection that the ~~entire records~~ connected, the records show that the resolution - was manouevered by a particular party with ulterior motives by bringing in an amended proposition to acquire all agriculturial lands between Malleswaram and Bellary, Sankey Roads and that Mr S Sitharamaiya retired Asst Commissioner and elected Coun - cellor objected in the meeting that the amended proposition was too big a one and that it was not right to move this amended proposition to shut - off the petitioner from getting permission to the layout The speaches of the Councellors in the said meeting are submitted as translated from Prajabandhu' with his petition of 5-1-1935 He prays that the entire records may be obtained and perused in this connection One of the elected Councellors who inspected the spot, observed in the said meeting that the cost of acquisition would go up to about Rs 45,000-0-0 The other - surrounding lands are about 150 acres in extent and they remain as before and are being built upon by individuals

individuals Mr Ramakrishna Iyengar's lands stated above are also adjoining the Bellary Road

7 The learned Municipal Commissioner in his report has not even touched upon the most important point which the petitioner has been all along urging - before the Municipal Council and which he has also stated in para 7 of his petition and which he submits will set at rest all the controversies He is prepared to entrust the work of the layout to the Municipality, undertaking to defray the - expenses thereof or carry out the same under their directions depositing sufficient money with the Municipality as a guarantee for carrying cut the work and that what he wants is to retain only the proprietory rights over the sites and that he does not in any way interfere with sanitary arrangements He urges this prayer now before the benign Government for consideration in view of the commissioners remarks that the petitioner is not likely to carry out costly works connected with the layout

8 After filing objections, the petitioner should have been heard according to law, but the learned commissioner has sent up his report without hearing the petitioner The plan sent to Government does not show the roads and conservancy lanes formed, drains and the house built and other improvements The extent notified is also wrong, and the petitioner owns 3 acres and 21 guntas and out of S No 1 and half the old village site in S No 1 (about 15 guntas in extent) belongs to the petitioner

9 In these circumstances the acquisition of his

his sites at this juncture serves no public - purpose, but will only deprive him of the chance of realising the proceeds from the sale of sites and thus put him to enormous loss and inconvenience

Wherefore the petitioner most humbly prays (a) that the Government of his Highness the Maharaja be pleased to call for the entire proceedings connected with the case including the proceedings of the Council

(b) that he be granted an oppertunity to explain his case with reference to the connected records

(c) that another responsible officer i e , the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore or one of the Sub Division officers at Bangalore be directed to inspect the spot and to ascertain the cost of investments, the cost of the house built upon and the market value of the property

(d) that the Government sanction to the proposed acquisition be graciously withheld in the peculiar circumstances of this case

(e) that the Municipality be directed to permit him to complete his layout taking from him such undertaking and deposits in cash as may be - deemed necessary for fulfilling sanitary requirements

S Kuralnappa
Petitioner

Bangalore,
30th January 1936

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read –

Correspondence ending with letter No. L.4847/[illegible]-35, dated 15th January 1936 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, recommending sanction to the acquisition of S.Nos. 1, 4 and 38 of Jakkardra village and S.No. 1 of Ranganathapura village required for continuing the extension of Malleswaram, Bangalore City.

Order No. L 6018-21/ml 35-34-81

Bangalore, dated the 7th February 1936.

The acquisition of the properties recommended above is sanctioned, the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, being appointed to perform the functions of a Deputy Commissioner under the Land Acquisition Regulation and authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said properties.

2. The declaration statements in English and Kannada will be forwarded to the ~~Superintendent~~ Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication.

3. The cost of acquisition will be met from the funds of the Bangalore City Municipality.

Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City.
" Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
" Director of Land Records in Mysore.
" Comptroller to Government.

TR/.5

P1

ತಾರೀಖು

1894 ನೆಯ ವರ್ಷದ 7ನೆಯ ನಂಬರ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷ್ಷ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್ನಿನ 6 ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನನುಸರಿಸಿ ಇದರಿಂದ
ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇರ್ಷನಿನ 7 ಮತ್ತು 3 (c) ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳನ್ನನುಸರಿಸಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಮಿಷನರಿಗೆ

ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್	ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು	ಹೋಬಳಿ	ಪಿಟಿ (ಗ್ರಾಮ)	ಖಾತೆದಾರನ ಯಾ ಮಾಲೀಕನ ಹೆಸರು	ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ	ಖಾಲಿ ನಿವೇಶನ, ಮನೆ (ಹೆಂಜನ ಯಾ ಮಣ್ಣನ ಮನೆ)	ಒಟ್ಟು ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ ಚದರ ಗಜ ಎಕರೆ.ಗು.ಚ	ಈಗ ಸರ್ಕಾ	
								ಪಿಟಿ ಖುದ್ದ	ಪಿಟಿ ಬಗಲು
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
				ಮುಂಡಾ S. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು	4	ಖುಷ್ಕಿಜಮೀನು	5-15		5
				——— ತಿಮ್ಮಾ	———	——— do ———	3-8		3
				ಅನಂತಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಶೇಷಪ್ಪ ಮಂಡಿಕಲ್ಲು		——— do ———	5-24		5

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೆಜಿಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧವಡಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಗೆಜಿಟ್ಟಿನ ಕಂಪೈಲರಿಗೆ

ಕ್ಯಾಲ್ಸ್ಟ್ಯೂ :

ನಂಬರ್ ಎ 6022/sme 35: 34-ಖ್ರ ಕೆ 35
7-2-36

ನಾ ಮೆ

ನಂದರೆ —ಇದರಡಿ ಎನರಿಸಿರುವ ಸ್ವತ್ತು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ, ಎಂದರೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯುಕ್ತಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವೆಂದು ಕಂಡು ಬಂದಿರುವ
ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಹೊಂದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಜರಿಗಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟದೆ

ರುವ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ			ಚತುರ್ಗಡಿ				ಷರಾ
	ಅಂಕಣಗಳು	ಸೈಡಿ	ಪೂರ್ವ	ಪಶ್ಚಿಮ	ಉತ್ತರ	ದಕ್ಷಿಣ	
2	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
5 ಗುಂಟೆ 1 ಸೈ 1			ಬೀದಿ	ರಂಗನಾಥಪ್ಪ ರದಪವೆನ್ನಂಗ ರು 1 ಮುಕ್ಕು 2	ಬೀದಿ ಸುಬ್ಬು ನಾಚಿಕರ್ನ ಚಳೀನು.	ಜಕ್ಕಭಂಪ್ರ ಸ್ರಾ ಪದರ ವೆಗನಂಬರು 1	
ಗುಂಟೆ			ಜಕ್ಕಭಂಪ್ರ ಸ್ರಾ ಪದವನೆ ಒಗೆಗಂಬರು	ಪಚೆನಂಬರು ಒಕಾರ್ಯಾಲು ನಿ ಪಿಕಲಾಜಿಂಗ್	ಬಕ್ಕಭಂಪ್ರಸ್ರಾ ರುರಸನೆ 4 ಗೆನಂಬರು.	ಬದವನ್ನಾ ಸೊಂರು ಸುಬ್ಬು ಮುಚಳಿಪತ್ರಿಗೆ	
-4 ಗುಂಟೆ ನ 1 ಸೈ 1			ಸುಬಳಿಪಮ್ಮ ನು.	ಮುನಿನೀಲಿ ಜಮೀನುಮಾಲ್ಕ ರು 1 ಪೇಪರ್ ಕಾಗದ ರದ್ದೆ	ಕಬ್ಬಿನಂಬ ರು 1	ಕಬ್ಬಿನಂಬ ರು 2	

ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯ/ಮೇರೆಗೆ,

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ

ಮೈಸೂರು, ಕೋಟೆ ಲಿಥೊಗ್ರಫಿಕ್ ಪ್ರೆಸ್

P2

Under section 6 of the Land Acquisition No. VII of 1894, it is hereby declared that municipal and under Sections 7 and 3 (C) of the said Regulation, the Assistant Commissioner in charge of...

District	Taluk	Division / Hobli	Village	Name of khatedar or owner	Survey / Municipal No	Vacant site, house (tiled, terraced or mudroofed	Total extent in sq. yards or Acres and Gunthas	Extent: Length in ft	Breadth in ft	Area in Sq ft
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
Bangalore	Bangalore	Kasaba	Ramanathapura	Ananthaswamy Subbiah Sastrapandit	Survey No 1	Basavanna Pond land	0-28			28 Gun
				Govt Lands	-do-	Dry Land (Myasandra village)	0-32			32 G
				M/s S. Krishnappa and Others	Remaining portion of Survey No 1	Dry land	2-8			2 Acres 8 Gun

Damarad
Backfrud
Neraley tree
Govt tree
Honge tree

To

The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, for publication in three successive issues of the Gazett

TION No. 34

hereunder is required for a public purpose *viz* for Continuing Malleswaram Extension

... Bore City Municipality ... is authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said land

Boundaries					Remarks
Ankanams	Running ft	East	West	North	South	
		9	10	11	12	13
		Mayaney	Municipal Land and Temple Road	Sub no 1	Sub no 2	
		Sub no 2	Basavanna Pond and Sub no 2	Sub no 1	Sub no 2	
		Survey no 4 of Jalahasanda Village	Newly formed sites	Channel	Newly formed sites and municipal land	

By order

Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City Municipality
8/11/36

Secretary to the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Rev Secretariat

Under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition No VII of 1894, it is hereby declared that the
and under Sections 7 and 3 (C) of the said Regulation, the ~~Assistant~~ Municipal Commissioner ~~in charge~~

District	City	Division	Street	Name of khatedar or owner	Survey Municipal No	Vacant site house (tiled terraced or mudroofed	Total extent in sq yards or Acres guntas and fractions	Extent Length in ft	Extent Breadth in ft
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
	Bangalore	Kasba	Gandhinagar	Mr S Krishnappa	4	Dry land	Acre + guntha 5-15		5 Ac 15 g Jamarul Thumbe t Alada t
				—— do ——	11 Survey no 1 Sub no 1	— do —	3-8		3 Acres
				Anantha Subbiah bin Seshapandit	Survey no 1 + Remaining Portion of Sub no 3	— do —	5-24		5 Acres Honge Tre Gova Tre Flower pl

To

The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, for publication in three successive issues of the Gazette

322

ON No. Rev 35-34-82 dt 7-2-36 33

nder is required for a public purpose *viz* for Continuing Malleswaram Extension
the municipality ... is authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said land

Running ft	Boundaries				Remarks
	East	West	North	South	
	9	10	11	12	13
	Channel	Survey no 5 1 and 2 of Ranganathapura Village	Channel and Waterworks Lands	Survey no 1 of Jalahalli Sandra Village	
	Survey no 2 of Jalahalli Sandra Village	Sub no 2 and municipal land	Survey no 4 of Jalahalli Sandra Village	Basavanna Pond and Govt Mayarey land.	
	Mayarey	Municipal Land and Temple Road	Sub no 1	Sub no 2	

Secretary to the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Rev Secretariat

P2 ...

ತಾರೀಖು

1894 ನೆಯ ವರ್ಷದ 7ನೆಯ ನಂಬರ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್ನಿನ 6 ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನನುಸರಿಸಿ ಇದರಿಂದ
ಮೆತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನಿನ 7 ಮತ್ತು 3 (c) ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳನ್ನನುಸರಿಸಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಮಿಷನರಿಗೆ

ಡಿವಿಜನ್	ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು	ಹೋಬಳಿ	ಹಳ್ಳಿಯ	ಪಾತೇದಾರನ ಯಾ ಮಾಲೀಕನ ಹೆಸರು	ಸ್ಕೀಮಿನ ನಂಬರು	ಪಾಲಿ ನಿವೇಶನ, ಮನೆ (ಹೆಂಚಿನ ಯಾ ಮಣ್ಣಿನ ಮನೆ)	ಒಟ್ಟು ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ ಜಡರಿ ಗಡ ಎಕರೆಸುಎಕ	ಈಗ ಸರ ಖರೀದಿ ಬಿದ್ದದ್ದು	ಖರೀದಿ ಆಗಬೇ
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
				ಅನಂಕಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಎಂಗಳೇಷ್ಟಪಂಡಿತ್	ಸ್ಕೀಂ ನಂ. 1	ಬನವಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಪಶ್ಚಾತ್ ಕೊಳಕ ಮನೆಗಳು	0-28		2
				ಪಿ ಕಾಕಾರಿ	— ಡಿಂ —	ಮಚಳಿಜ ಮನೆಗಳು	0-32		
				ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಮನೆಯ ಗಂಡಸು ಕುಲದ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳು		ಮಿಷ್ಟಿಯು ಗಳು	0-8	2	2

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೆಜಿಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಗೆಜಿಟ್ಟಿನ ಕಂಪೈಲರಿಗೆ

ಕ್ವಾರ್ಟರ್ಸ್

ನಂಬರ್

ನಾ ಮೆ

ಮೇನಂದರೆ —ಇದರಡಿ ಎವರಿಸಿರುವ ಸ್ವತ್ತು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಲಸಕ್ಕೆ, ಎಂದರೆ, ಮಲ್ಲೇಶ್ವರಂ ಪ್ರಿಂಟಿಂಗ್ ಪ್ರೆಸ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡಕ್ಕೆ ತಿವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ
ಸ್ವತ್ತು ಹೊಂದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಜರಿಗಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೂಟ್ಟಿದೆ

ಗಿರುವ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ			ಚತುರ್ಗಡಿ				ಷರಾ
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಅಂಕಣಗಳು	ಸೈಡಿಡಿ	ಪೂರ್ವ	ಪಶ್ಚಿಮ	ಉತ್ತರ	ದಕ್ಷಿಣ	
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
ಗು 1			ಮಜಕಜಲು ವುನಿಸಿಕಲ ಜಿಂಟಿನುಸಕ್ಕೆ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸ್ಥಳ.	ಕಚೇರಿ 1	ಕಚೇರಿ 2		
ಕ ಗು			ಕಚೇರಿಗಳು 2	ಬಾಥವ್ಲಾಂಡ್ರೂಂ ಕಚೇರಿ 1 ಗಳು 2	ಕಚೇರಿಗಳು 1	ಕಚೇರಿಗಳು 2	
ಗ			ಜಕ್ಕಣಿಯವರ ಮರದಸಲಾ 4 ಸೀನರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ	ಪ್ರೊಪರ್ಟಿಯ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸ್ಥಳ.	ಚಾನಲ್	ಪ್ರೊಪರ್ಟಿಯ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮುಸ್ಲಿಮರ ವುನಿಸಿಕಲ ಜಿಂಟಿನುಸು.	

ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯ ಮೇರೆಗೆ,

Secretary, Forest Department

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1/11 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಜನರಲ್ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್

Chief

Municipal Commissioner,

Appendix F G—1]

37

SUBJECT

Section ml

File No 35-34

Serial No 83

Diary No of letter replied to 1/6050

No Endorsement

To Mr S Krishnappa,
Inamdar & Advocate
Seshadripuram, Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 8/2/36

Signed by for Mr A. S. Rose

With reference to his petition dated 30-1-1936 objecting to ~~protesting against~~ the acquisition of his lands in Jaekasandra Village, Bangalore TK by the Bangalore City Municipality for continuing the Malleswaram Extension and requesting that to the said acquisition ~~praying~~ that ~~for~~ sanction be withheld and ~~an~~ after giving him a hearing ~~opportunity given to him for being heard~~ and that the Municipality be directed to permit him to complete the layout he has already begun, Mr Krishnappa

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 03601—GPB—80 000—23 9-35

is unfortunate that his requests cannot be complied with.

By order

for Chief Secy Urgent

~~1~~ 6054/Bnc Rptw dt 8-2-36

copy to the Municipal Comm., Bangalore city

Ex D

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L 6050 — mc 35-34-83

Bangalore, Dated 8th Feby. 1936.

ENDORSEMENT

To

Mr. S. Krishnappa,
Inamdar & Advocate,
Seshadripuram, Bangalore City.

With reference to his petition dated 30th January 1936 objecting to the acquisition of his lands in Jackasandra Village, Bangalore Taluk, by the Bangalore City Municipality for continuing the Malleswaram Extension and requesting that Government sanction to the said acquisition be withheld after giving him a hearing and that the Municipality be directed to permit him to complete the lay-out he has already begun, Mr. Krishnappa is informed that his requests cannot be complied with.

By Order,

Sd/- A V Ramanathan,

Chief Secretary to
Government.

CSG.3.

Memo No L 6051/mc Dated, Bangalore, 8/2/1936

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City

By Order

for Chief Secretary to Govt